MEMORANDUM

TO: File

FROM: Patrick J. Lawton

City Administrator

DATE: August 23, 2012

RE: After Action Report – School Lawsuit FOIA and DISCOVERY Request

• Purpose

O What did we set out to do?

- Comply with Freedom of Information Application request and DISCOVERY request received

O What was supposed to happen?

- Requestor completes and submits FOIA to city clerk
- Gather specifics from application, i.e. format, labor costs, material costs, etc.
- Call requestor to confirm request is to be completed
- Comply with request in a timely manner

O Is there a process or procedure in place for this activity?

- Yes

Executive Summary

O What actually happened?

- Timeline for compliance changed from August 23 to August 10.
- FOIA and DISCOVERY request associated with the municipal school lawsuit merged and deadline moved to two weeks earlier
- Decision to go with a vendor to backup tapes of deleted emails was made but due to timeline changing Administration and IT had to work ahead and compile as much information as possible in-house ahead of schedule
- Internal audit by Administration gathered all printed documentation and documents stored on server (WORD, EXCEL, and POWERPOINT)
- All documents, emails, etc. printed regardless of original format
- Administration culled out duplicates, client confidential correspondence and non relevant materials
- Documents itemized by specific request number as requested
- Final package delivered to City Attorney's office for his review, within a ten-day compressed time frame
- City Attorney provided package to requestor

O What worked well that needs to be sustained?

- Setting up a War Room that could be secured
- Setting up 6' tables for sorting documents
- Some employees maintained an archived and organized email retention system
- Outsourcing vendor for email compilation
- Game plan in getting team assembled and focused on task as quickly as possible
- Team listened to each other, worked together and brainstormed respectfully

O What did not work well and needs to be changed?

- Communication with City Attorney's office on DISCOVERY request
- Deleting emails permanent from City server or drives
- Searching tapes for deleted emails and sorting was labor intensive
- Outsourcing tapes for deleted emails was expensive
- Printing email attachments was labor intensive
- Duplication of documents
- Vendor's tool/program too slow

Lessons Learned

- O What can we do better next time?
 - Better communication with City Attorney's office regarding production of records
 - Keep in contact with requestor/FOIA requestor
 - New computer backup system
 - Be thoughtful about what is discussed and contained in an email
 - Archive emails

Action Items

- O Develop a list of the actions to fix specific needs
 - Review Retention Policy (MTAS)
 - Develop archival policy or GOOGLE APP